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## 1st Half Yearly Monitoring Report of Udham Singh Nagar, Nainital \& Almora (Monitoring Institution) on MDM \& SSA for the State/UT of Uttarakhand for the period of 1st April 2013 to 31st March 2015.

## General Information

| SI.No. | Information | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Period of the report | 1st April 2013 to 31st March 2015 |
| 2. | No. of Districts allotted | , |
| 3. | Districts' name | Udham Singh Nagar, Nainital\& Almora |
| 4 | Month of visit to the Districts / Schools (Information is to be given district wise i.e. District 1, District 2, District 3) | District 1- Udham Singh Nagar-09.12.13 to 13.12.13 <br> District 2- Nainital-14.12.13 to 18.12.13 <br> District 3- Almora- 19.12.13 to 24.12.13 |
| 4. | Total number of elementary schools (primary and Upper primary to be counted separately) in the Districts Covered by MI (Information is to be given district wise I.e. District 1, District 2, District 3) | District 1- Udham Singh Nagar-40 <br> District 2- Nainital-40 <br> District 3- Almora-40 |
| 5. | Number of elementary schools monitored (primary and Upper primary to be counted separately) <br> Information is to be given for district wise i.e. District 1, District 2, District 3) | District 1- Udham Singh Nagar-PS-27,UPS11 \& KGBV-2 <br> District 2- Nainital-PS-27, UPS-12 <br> \&KGBV-1 <br> District 3- Almora- PS-29, UPS-09 \&KGBV-2 |
| 6. | Types of school visited |  |
| a) | Special training centers (Residential) | District 1- Udham Singh Nagar-00 <br> District 2- Nainital-00 <br> District 3- Almora-00 |
| b) | Special training centers (Non Residential) | District 1- Udham Singh Nagar-00 <br> District 2- Nainital-00 <br> District 3- Almora-00 |
| c) | Schools in Urban Areas | District 1- Udham Singh Nagar-PS-6,UPS-2 <br> District 2- Nainital- PS-10, UPS-6 <br> District 3- Almora- PS-5, UPS-3 |
| d) | School sanctioned with Civil Works | District 1- Udham Singh Nagar-4 <br> District 2- Nainital-4 <br> District 3- Almora-2 |
| e) | School from NPEGEL Blocks | District 1- Udham Singh Nagar-0 <br> District 2- Nainital-0 <br> District 3- Almora-0 |


| f) | Schools having CWSN | District 1- Udham Singh Nagar-3 <br> District 2- Nainital-4 <br> District 3- Almora-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| g) | School covered under CAL Programme | District 1- Udham Singh Nagar-4 District 2- Nainital-5 <br> District 3- Almora-3 |
| h) | KGBVs | District 1- Udham Singh Nagar-2 <br> District 2- Nainital-1 <br> District 3- Almora-2 |
| 8. | Number of schools visited by Nodal Officer of the Monitoring Institute | District 1- Udham Singh Nagar-40 <br> District 2- Nainital-40 <br> District 3- Almora-40 |
| 9. | Whether the draft report has been shared with the SPO : YES / NO | No |
| 10. | After submission of the draft report to the SPO whether the MI has received any comments from the SPO: YES / NO | No |
| 11. | Before sending the reports to the GOI whether the MI has shared the report with SPO: YES / NO | No |
| 12. | Details regarding discussion held with state officials | Before taking up the field level study we have discussions with the State Officials namely SPD and Commissioner, Deputy Director (Monitoring). The state team helped us by intimating the district about the monitoring and visit state. They also instructed the district for necessary support as per the GOI letter and requirement. |
| 13. | Selection Criteria for Schools | The selection of sample schools was done as per the TOR of Ministry of HRD. In total 40 schools of various category has been selected. The purposive sampling technique and stratified sampling technique has been used. Thus through random sampling technique the sample schools have been selected. The district and block officials were also involved. |
| 14 | Items to be attached with the report |  |
| a) | List of Schools with DISE code visited by MI. | Yes |
| b) | Copy of Office order, notification etc. discussed in the report. | Yes |
| c) | District Summary of the school reports | Yes |
| d) | Any other relevant documents | Yes |

## Consolidated Report for the districts of Udham Singh Nagar, Nainital, \& Almora in Uttarakhand (State) for the period 1st April 2013 to 30th Sept 2013

| 1- REGULARITY IN SERVING MEAL |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| District 1. <br> Udham Singh <br> Nagar | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. <br> All visited schools serve hot cooked mid day meal to all the students present <br> in the school. |
| District 2 <br> Nainital | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 12 Upper primary schools. <br> All visited schools serve hot cooked mid day meal to all the students present <br> in the school. |
| District 3. <br> Almora | Sample size- 29 Primary Schools and 09 Upper primary schools. <br> All visited schools serve hot cooked mid day meal to all the students present <br> in the school. |
| 2- TRENDS: | 1. |
| Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. |  |
| Udhe total enrollment of students in Primary schools was 4001 \& 1253 in |  |
| Nagar | Upper primary schools. No. of children present on the day of survey was <br> $2726(68.13$ percent) in Primary \& 853(68.07 percent) in Upper primary <br> schools. Number of children availing MDM as per MDM Register was |
| $2726(68.13$ percent) in Primary \& 848(67.67 percent) in Upper primary |  |$|$| schools. The actual number of children having MDM on the day of survey |
| :--- |
| was 2726(68.13 percent) in Primary \& 849(67.75 percent) in Upper primary |
| schools. But MDM served a day previous to the visit was 2802(70.03 percent) |
| in Primary \& 889(70.94 percent) in Upper primary schools. |


|  | Primary \& 382 (72.90 percent) in Upper primary schools. But MDM served a day previous to the visit was 2552 (81.24 percent) in Primary \& 388 (74.04 percent) in Upper primary schools. |
| :---: | :---: |
| District 3. Almora | Sample size- 29 Primary Schools and 09 Upper primary schools. <br> The total enrollment of students in Primary schools was 1177 \& 362 in Upper primary schools. No. of children present on the day of survey was 853(72.47 percent) in Primary \& 277 (76.51 percent) in Upper primary schools. Number of children availing MDM as per MDM Register was 853 (72.47 percent) in Primary \& 277(76.51 percent) in Upper primary schools. The actual number of children having MDM on the day of survey was 855 (72.64 percent) in Primary \& 278 (76.79 percent) in Upper primary schools. But MDM served a day previous to the visit was 985 (83.68 percent) in Primary \& 308(85.08 percent) in Upper primary schools. |
| 3- REGULARITY IN DELIVERING FOOD GRAINS TO SCHOOL LEVEL |  |
| $\begin{array}{lr} \hline \text { District } & 1 . \\ \text { Udham } & \text { Singh } \\ \text { Nagar } & \end{array}$ | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. <br> 100 percent of the visited schools are receiving food grain regularly. Buffer stock is maintained in 100 percent of the visited schools. Food grains are delivered at the school doorsteps in All primary and Upper primary visited schools. All the sampled schools have received cooking cost in advance most of the times. |
| District  <br> Nainital  | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 12 Upper primary schools. <br> 100 percent of the visited schools are receiving food grain regularly. Buffer stock is maintained in 100 percent of the visited schools. Food grains are delivered at the school doorsteps in 92.59 percent primary and 91.66 percent Upper primary visited schools. All the sampled schools have received cooking cost in advance most of the times. |
| District 3. Almora | Sample size- 29 Primary Schools and 09 Upper primary schools. <br> 100 percent of the visited schools are receiving food grain regularly. Buffer stock is maintained in 100 percent of the visited schools. Food grains are delivered at the school doorsteps in 86.20 percent primary and 55.55 percent Upper primary visited schools. 96.55 percent primary and 88.88 percent Upper primary of the sampled schools have received cooking cost in advance most of the times. |

## 4- SOCIAL EQUITY

| District <br> Udham <br> Nagar | 1. <br> Singh | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. <br> There was no discrimination noticed among all the sample schools visited by MI. children in most of the visited schools take mid day meal in an orderly manner. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District <br> Nainital | 2. | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 12 Upper primary schools. <br> There was no discrimination noticed among all the sample schools visited by MI. children in most of the visited schools take mid day meal in an orderly manner. |
| District Almora |  | Sample size- 29 Primary Schools and 09 Upper primary schools. <br> There was no discrimination noticed among all the sample schools visited by MI. children in most of the visited schools take mid day meal in an orderly manner. |
| 5- VARIETY OF MENU |  |  |
| District <br> Udham <br> Nagar | 1. <br> Singh | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. <br> Menu has been displayed in 92.59 percent primary and 100 percent Upper primary of the visited schools on the notice board or wall and it is adhered to in 100 percent of the schools. Generally the schools serve rice and pulse everyday but a little variety in serving food is noticed in all the visited schools. Rice, Dal and sometimes vegetables are included in daily menu. |
| District <br> Nainital |  | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 12 Upper primary schools. <br> Menu has been displayed in 100 percent primary and 100 percent Upper primary of the visited schools on the notice board or wall and it is adhered to in 100 percent of the schools. Generally the schools serve rice and pulse everyday but a little variety in serving food is noticed in all the visited schools. Rice, Dal and sometimes vegetables are included in daily menu. |
| District <br> Almora |  | Sample size- 29 Primary Schools and 09 Upper primary schools. <br> Menu has been displayed in 100 percent primary and 77.77 percent Upper primary of the visited schools on the notice board or wall and it is adhered to in 100 percent of the schools. Generally the schools serve rice and pulse everyday but a little variety in serving food is noticed in all the visited schools. <br> Rice, Dal and sometimes vegetables are included in daily menu. |


| 6- QUALITY \& | NTITY OF MEAL |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.District <br> Udham Singh <br> Nagar | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. <br> The overall quality of meal was generally good in all the visited school. Quantity of mid day meal was sufficient .Children were quite happy with the quality and quantity of food. |
| 2.District Nainital | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 12 Upper primary schools. <br> The overall quality of meal was generally good in all the visited school Quantity of mid day meal was sufficient .Children were quite happy with the quality and quantity of food. |
| 3.District <br> Almora | Sample size- 29 Primary Schools and 09 Upper primary schools. <br> The overall quality of meal was generally good in all the visited school. Quantity of mid day meal was sufficient .Children were quite happy with the quality and quantity of food. |
| 7-SUPPLEMENTARY |  |
| District 1. <br> Udham Singh <br> Nagar  | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. Iron Folic Acid and Micronutrients have been distributed in 96.29 percent primary and 100 percent Upper primary of the visited schools. Vitamin - A dosage is distributed in schools and de-worming medicines were served in schools. Nearest Govt. doctor or CMO team. Administers them half yearly. |
| District2. <br> Nainital | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 12 Upper primary schools. Iron Folic Acid and Micronutrients have been distributed in 100 percent primary and 100 percent Upper primary of the visited schools. Vitamin - A dosage is distributed in schools and de-worming medicines were served in schools. Nearest Govt. doctor or NRHM team. Administers them half yearly. |
| District3. <br> Almora | Sample size- 29 Primary Schools and 09 Upper primary schools. Iron Folic Acid and Micronutrients have been distributed in 93.10 percent primary and 88.88 percent Upper primary of the visited schools. Vitamin - A dosage is distributed in schools and de-worming medicines were served in schools. Nearest Govt. doctor or CMO team. Administers them half yearly. |
|  |  |


| 8-STATUS OF COOKS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| District 1. Udham <br> Singh Nagar | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. <br> Cook cum helpers are engaged by department and cook serve the meals. <br> Yes, the number of cook cum helpers is adequate to meet the requirement <br> of the school. <br> The cooks are paid Rs. 1500/- per month. <br> The cook cum helpers in all the visited schools informed that they were <br> receiving the honorarium regularly. <br> Cook cum helpers in most of the schools belongs to SC, OBC and ST <br> category. |
| District | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 12 Upper primary schools. <br> Cook cum helpers are engaged by department and cook serve the meals. <br> Yes, the number of cook cum helpers is adequate to meet the requirement <br> of the school. <br> The cooks are paid Rs. 1500/- per month. The cook cum helpers in all the <br> visited schools informed that they were receiving the honorarium regularly. <br> Cook cum helpers in most of the schools belongs to Other (general cast), <br> SC and OBC category. |
| District |  |
| Almora |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { 9- INFRASTRUCTURE } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { District 1. Udham } \\ \text { Singh Nagar }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. } \\ \text { Pucca kitchen cum store is available in } 96.29 \text { percent primary and } 81.81 \\ \text { percent Upper primary of the visited schools. } \\ \text { In the sample schools where pucca kitchen is not available food is cooked } \\ \text { in Extra Classroom and food grains/other ingredients are stored in a } \\ \text { Classroom provided by state govt. or in the head teacher's office. } \\ \text { Yes, drinking water facility is available in all the visited schools. } \\ \text { Yes, adequate kitchen utensils are available in all the visited schools. } \\ \text { LPG is used in 29.62 percent primary and } 0 \text { percent Upper primary of the } \\ \text { visited schools and in rest of the schools firewood is used for preparing mid } \\ \text { day meal. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { District } \\ \text { Nainital } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 12 Upper primary schools. } \\ \text { Pucca kitchen cum store is available in } 81.48 \text { percent primary and } 83.33 \\ \text { percent Upper primary of the visited schools. In the sample schools where } \\ \text { pucca kitchen is not available food is cooked in open space in verandah and } \\ \text { other Classroom food grains/other ingredients are stored in a Classroom } \\ \text { provided by state govt. or in the head teacher's office. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Yes, drinking water facility is available in all the visited schools. }\end{array}\right\}$

|  | Adequate kitchen utensils are available in 96.55 percent primary and 100 <br> percent Upper primary of the visited schools. <br> LPG is used in 13.79 percent primary and 33.33 percent Upper primary of <br> the visited schools <br> And in rest of the schools firewood is used for preparing mid day meal. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10-SAFETY \& HYGIENE |  |
| District 1. Udham | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. <br> During the visit it was noticed that 44.44 percent of the visited school were <br> fulfilling the indicator of cooking and serving the food in neat, hygienic as <br> well as safe conditions. <br> Children are encouraged to wash hands before and after eating in all the <br> visited schools. <br> Efforts were made in all the schools to conserve water and teachers <br> encourage students to save water. <br> In about 96.29 percent primary and 81.81 percent Upper Primary of the <br> visited schools visited MI found that the cooking process and fuel storage <br> was and safe was not posing fire hazard. However, in 3.70 percent primary <br> and 18.18 percent Upper Primary of the visited schools it was not safe as <br> the meals were either cooked in open or the makeshift kitchen was so small <br> and very near the classrooms. However there is strong need for safe storage <br> of fuel along with cooking ingredients. |
| District |  |
| Nainital | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 12 Upper primary schools. <br> During the visit it was noticed that 55.55 percent of the visited school were <br> fulfilling the indicator of cooking and serving the food in neat, hygienic as <br> well as safe conditions. <br> Children are encouraged to wash hands before and after eating in all the <br> visited schools. <br> Efforts were made in all the schools to conserve water and teachers <br> encourage students to save water. <br> In about 96.29 percent primary and 100 percent Upper Primary of the <br> visited schools visited MI found that the cooking process and fuel storage <br> was and safe was not posing fire hazard. However, in 3.70 percent primary <br> of the visited schools it was not safe as the meals were either cooked in |


|  | open or the makeshift kitchen was so small and very near the classrooms. <br> However there is strong need for safe storage of fuel along with cooking <br> ingredients. |
| :--- | :--- |
| District <br> Almora | Sample size- 29 Primary Schools and 09 Upper primary schools. <br> During the visit it was noticed that 62.06 percent of the visited school were <br> fulfilling the indicator of cooking and serving the food in neat, hygienic as <br> well as safe conditions. <br> Children are encouraged to wash hands before and after eating in all the <br> visited schools. <br> Efforts were made in all the schools to conserve water and teachers <br> encourage students to save water. <br> In about 79.31 percent primary of the visited schools visited MI found that <br> the cooking process and fuel storage was and safe was not posing fire <br> hazard. However, in 20.68 percent primary of the visited schools it was not <br> safe as the meals were either cooked in open or the makeshift kitchen was |
| so small and very near the classrooms. However there is strong need for |  |
| safe storage of fuel along with cooking ingredients. |  |$|$| 11- COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND AWARENESS |
| :--- | :--- |


| 12-INSPECTION \& SUPERVISION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| District 1. Udham Singh Nagar | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. 92.59 percent of the Primary schools \& 100 percent Upper primary schools were inspected by the block level officials mainly by CRC and BRCs. |
| District  <br> Nainital 2. | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 12 Upper primary schools. 51.85 percent of the Primary schools \& 58.33 percent Upper primary schools were inspected by the block level officials mainly by CRC and BRCs. |
| District $\quad 3$. Almora | Sample size- 29 Primary Schools and 09 Upper primary schools. 48.27 percent of the Primary schools \& 44.4 percent Upper primary schools were inspected by the block level officials mainly by CRC and BRCs. |
| 13- IMPACT |  |
| District 1. Udham Singh Nagar | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. <br> There is no doubt that MDM bridges the gap and results in bringing about social justice because children belonging to different castes enjoy taking MDM collectively in a place which sets aside the feeling of discrimination. |
| District <br> Nainital | Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 12 Upper primary schools. <br> There is no doubt that MDM bridges the gap and results in bringing about social justice because children belonging to different castes enjoy taking MDM collectively in a place which sets aside the feeling of discrimination. |
| District $\quad 3$. Almora | Sample size- 29 Primary Schools and 09 Upper primary schools. <br> There is no doubt that MDM bridges the gap and results in bringing about social justice because children belonging to different castes enjoy taking MDM collectively in a place which sets aside the feeling of discrimination. |
|  |  |

## 13- Investigator comments

$\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { District 1. Udham } \\ \text { Singh Nagar } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 11 Upper primary schools. } \\ \text { In all the Primary and Upper Primary schools of the district of U S } \\ \text { NAGAR, the Hot cooked Mid Day meal is served daily without fail. The } \\ \text { students, teachers \& Parents of the children are happy with the quantity \& } \\ \text { quality of food served. On enquiry with parents they say that daily good } \\ \text { meal is served which comprises of Dal, rice \& green vegetables. }\end{array} \\ \text { All the schools are regularly getting the food grains and almost all the } \\ \text { schools have one month stock of food grains. The quality of food grains is } \\ \text { good and the teachers have reported that isf the quality is not good they } \\ \text { return back the food grains back to the shopkeeper. Almost all the schools } \\ \text { have samples of rice grains for comparisons on regular supply. All the } \\ \text { schools receive the cooking expenses in advance. Around 5\% of the schools } \\ \text { have not displayed the menu on the wall, but the food is given according to } \\ \text { the menu in all these schools. }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { The school children are happy with the quantity \& quality of MDM and } \\ \text { they eat full to their satisfaction. In almost all schools the Health card was } \\ \text { found and the students are given the medications from time to time. }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { The MDM is cooked and distributed by departmental cooks, who are } \\ \text { selected by SMC. } \\ \text { Around 7\% schools don’t have Kitchen and store room so the food is } \\ \text { cooked in extra room or in open. } \\ \text { In most of the schools the food is cooked on firewood. In around 6\% of the } \\ \text { schools the MDM is not being monitored properly by the Department. }\end{array}\right\}$
$\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { District } \\ \text { Nainital } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Sample size- 27 Primary Schools and 12 Upper primary schools. } \\ \text { In all the Primary and Upper Primary schools of the district of Nainital, the } \\ \text { Hot cooked Mid Day meal is served daily without fail. The students, } \\ \text { teachers \& Parents of the children are happy with the quantity \& quality of } \\ \text { food served. On enquiry with parents they say that daily good meal is } \\ \text { served which comprises of Dal, rice \& green vegetables. }\end{array} \\ \text { Almost all the schools are regularly getting the food grains and almost all } \\ \text { the schools have one month stock of food grains. } \\ \text { The quality of food grains is good and the teachers have reported that if the } \\ \text { quality is not good they return back the food grains back to the shopkeeper. } \\ \text { Almost all the schools have samples of rice grains for comparisons on } \\ \text { regular supply. All the schools receive the cooking expenses in advance. }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { In 7\% of the schools, the conveyance charges of bringing the ration to the } \\ \text { school from the shop are not being paid by the shopkeeper. In these schools } \\ \text { the teachers have to pay from their pockets the conveyance charges to bring } \\ \text { ration to the school from the ration shop. }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { Around 17\% schools dont have Kitchen and store room so the food is } \\ \text { cooked in extra room or in open. In 3 schools dont have provision of }\end{array}\right\}$

|  | drinking water in school premises. <br> In most of the schools the food is cooked on firewood. In $53 \%$ all of the schools the MDM is not being monitored properly by the Department. <br> In all the schools improvement in enrollment, attendance and health of students has been noticed due to MDM. |
| :---: | :---: |
| District <br> Almora | Sample size- 29 Primary Schools and 09 Upper primary schools. <br> In all the Primary and Upper Primary schools of the district of ALMORA, the Hot cooked Mid Day meal is served daily without fail. The students, teachers \& Parents of the children are happy with the quantity \& quality of food served. On enquiry with parents they say that daily good meal is served which comprises of Dal, rice \& green vegetables. <br> Almost all the schools are regularly getting the food grains and almost all the schools have one month stock of food grains. In 1 PS (PS Sheel) the Head Mistress has complained that the ration shop person is supplying less ration than required, So she has to purchase the balance ration to run the MDM properly. <br> The quality of food grains is good and the teachers have reported that if the quality is not good they return back the food grains back to the shopkeeper. Almost all the schools have samples of rice grains for comparisons on regular supply. Almost all the schools receive the cooking expenses in advance. In 2 schools - PS Rajpura and UPS Jageshwar, the cooking expenses are not coming in advance and the teachers have to pay from their pockets to run the MDM regularity. In the UPS the payment has not come from the last 3 months and in the PS the payments have not come since July. <br> In $21 \%$ of the schools, the conveyance charges of bringing the ration to the school from the shop are not being paid by the shopkeeper. In these schools the teachers have to pay from their pockets the conveyance charges to bring ration to the school from the ration shop. |

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { In } 2 \text { schools (UPS Jageswar and UPS Kana Aartola) menu is not displayed } \\ \text { on the wall, but the food is given according to the menu in all these schools. }\end{array} \\ \text { The school children are happy with the quantity \& quality of MDM and } \\ \text { they eat full to their satisfaction. In } 8 \% \text { of all schools surveyed, the Health } \\ \text { card was not found but the students of all schools are given the medications } \\ \text { from time to time. } \\ \text { The MDM is cooked and distributed by departmental cooks, who are } \\ \text { selected by SMC. In } 36 \% \text { schools the payment to cooks is made in cash as } \\ \text { they do not have a bank account. } \\ \text { Around } 15 \% \text { schools don't have Kitchen and store room so the food is } \\ \text { cooked in extra room or in open. In } 3 \text { schools don't have provision of } \\ \text { drinking water in school premises. }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { In all the schools improvement in enrollment, attendance and health of } \\ \text { students has been noticed due to MDM. } \\ \text { In most of the schools the food is cooked on firewood. In around } 52 \% \text { of } \\ \text { the schools the MDM is not being monitored properly by the Department. }\end{array}\right\}$
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## Methodology

A total number of 40 schools which includes 27 primary schools, 11 Upper Primary Schools and 2 KGBVs. have been randomly selected for the study in Udham Singh Nagar district, as decided by the Ministry. 8 schools out of 38 schools are from the urban part of the district, 4 schools have civil works sanctioned for them and 3 schools have minimum of three CWSN (priority to those having other than orthopedic ally impaired), 4 schools each covered under the Computer Aided Learning (CAL) and 2 KGBV scheme.

## Criteria of Sample Selection

The following criteria were followed in the selection of sample primary and Upper Primary Schools in Udham Singh Nagar district:

1. Higher gender gap in enrolment,
2. Higher proportion of SC/ST students,
3. Low retention rate and higher drop-out rate,
4. Schools with a minimum of three CWSN.
5. The habitation where the school is located has sizeable number of OoSC.
6. The habitation where the school is located has in-bound and out-bound seasonal migration,
7. The habitation where the school is located is known to have sizable number of urban deprived children.
8. The school is located in a forest or far flung area.
9. The habitation where the school is located witnesses recurrent floods or some other natural calamity.

### 1.5 Sample Design of the Study

A total number of 40 schools have been taken as sample schools from Udham Singh Nagar district. Out of these 40 Schools, 8 schools are from Nagar Kshetrya and 30 schools are from rural areas. 2 KGBVs (Two from rural blocks) have been selected.

Number of Sample Schools, District Udham Singh Nagar.

| Sl. No. | Name of Block | Primary <br> Schools | Upper Primary <br> Schools | KGBV | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Gadarpur | $08(20 \%)$ | $03(7.5 \%)$ | - | $11(27.5 \%)$ |
| 2 | Rudrapur | $06(15 \%)$ | $03(7.5 \%)$ | - | $09(22.5 \%)$ |
| 3 | Sitarganj | $06(15 \%)$ | $02(5 \%)$ | $01(2.5 \%)$ | $09(22.5 \%)$ |
| 4 | Bajpur | $07(17.5 \%)$ | $03(7.5 \%)$ | $01(2.5 \%)$ | $11(27.5 \%)$ |
|  | Total | $27(67.5 \%)$ | $11(27.5 \%)$ | $02(5 \%)$ | $40(100 \%)$ |

All types of 40 sample schools selected from Udham Singh Nagar district. Out of which, 27 are Primary Schools, 11 are Upper Primary Schools and 2 are KGBVs. The care has been taken that each type of school gets representation in the Sample.

## District: Udham Singh Nagar <br> 1. LIST OF SCHOOL WITH SELECTION CRITERIA

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{S} . \\ & \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | DISE <br> School Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 05120100202 | PS Majhara Jhunni | PS | Gadarpur |
| 2 | 05120100104 | UPS Subhash Nagar | UPS | Gadarpur |
| 3 | 05120101601 | PS Barakheda $1^{\text {st }}$ | PS | Gadarpur |
| 4 | 05120101602 | UPS Barakheda | UPS | Gadarpur |
| 5 | 05120103002 | UPS Balram Nagar | UPS | Gadarpur |
| 6 | 05120108701 | PS Barakheda | PS | Gadarpur |
| 7 | 05120201801 | PS Haldi | PS | Rudrapur |
| 8 | 05120200201 | PS Dharampur | PS | Rudrapur |
| 9 | 05120200301 | PS Fauji Matkota | PS | Rudrapur |
| 10 | 05120201819 | UPS Haldi | UPS | Rudrapur |
| 11 | 05120100101 | PS Subhash Nagar | PS | Gadarpur |
| 12 | 05120104201 | PS Rudrapur | PS | Gadarpur |
| 13 | 05120102401 | PS Gheemarkhera | PS | Gadarpur |
| 14 | 05120102801 | PS Rajpura | PS | Gadarpur |
| 15 | 05120107402 | PS Gadarpur ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ | PS | Gadarpur |
| 16 | 05120200101 | PS Chattarpur Rudrapur | PS | Rudrapur |
| 17 | 05120107402 | PS Bhurarani | PS | Rudrapur |
| 18 | 05120200102 | UPS Chattarpur | UPS | Rudrapur |
| 19 | 05120201802 | PS Patharchatta | PS | Rudrapur |
| 20 | 05120201809 | UPS Patharchata Rudrapur | UPS | Rudrapur |
| 21 | 05120612701 | PS Jhadi No 1 | PS | Sitarganj |
| 22 | 05120603704 | PS Dhai No | PS | Sitarganj |
| 23 | 05120609502 | UPS Jhadi | UPS | Sitarganj |
| 24 | 05120608601 | PS Gauri Kheda | PS | Sitarganj |
| 25 | 05120607101 | PS Saijani | PS | Sitarganj |
| 26 | 05120608402 | UPS Malpura | UPS | Sitarganj |
| 27 | 05120610104 | PS Chintimajhara | PS | Sitarganj |
| 28 | 05120609201 | PS Sitaarganj $1^{\text {st }}$ | PS | Sitarganj |
| 29 | 05120706101 | PS Tanda Dalchandra | PS | Bajpur |
| 30 | 05120707101 | PS Kela Kheda | PS | Bajpur |
| 31 | 05120702101 | PS Gangapur | PS | Bajpur |
| 32 | 05120704517 | PS Namuna | PS | Bajpur |
| 33 | 05120702501 | PS Hajeera | PS | Bajpur |
| 34 | 05120702502 | UPS Hajeera | UPS | Bajpur |
| 35 | 05120701302 | PS Chakarpur | PS | Bajpur |


| 36 | 05120701303 | UPS Chakarpur | UPS | Bajpur |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 37 | 05120703401 | PS Khamariya | PS | Bajpur |
| 38 | 05120703402 | UPS Khamariya | UPS | Bajpur |
| 39 |  | KGBV Sitarganj | KGBV | Sitarganj |
| 40 |  | KGBV Bajpur | KGBV | Bajpur |

## District: Udham Singh Nagar

| 1. | Name of the Monitoring <br> Institution | LUCKNOW UNIVERSITY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Period of the report | 1st April 2013 to 31st Sept 2013 |
| 3. | Name of the District | Udham Singh Nagar |
| 4. | Date of visit to the Districts/ | 09.12 .13 to 13.12.13 |

## Mid-Day Meal Programmes by the Monitoring Institutes

 (2013-14)
## A. At School Level



## 2. TRENDS:

## Extent of variation (As per school records vis-à-vis actual position/status on the day of visit)

The total enrollment of students in Primary schools was 4001 \& 1253 in Upper primary schools. No. of children present on the day of survey was 2726(68.13\%) in Primary \& 853(68.07\%) in Upper primary schools. Number of children availing MDM as per MDM Register was 2726(68.13\%) in Primary \& 848(67.67\%) in Upper primary schools. The actual number of children having MDM on the day of survey was 2726(68.13\%) in Primary \& 849 (67.75\%) in Upper primary schools. But MDM served a day previous to the visit was 2802(70.03\%) in Primary \& 889(70.94\%) in Upper primary schools.

| SN. | Details |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Enrollment |  |  |
|  | PS | UPS |  |
|  | 4001(100\%) | 1253(100\%) |  |
| II | No. of children opted for Mid Day Meal |  |  |
|  | PS | UPS |  |
|  | 2726(68.13\%) | 848(67.67\%) |  |
| III | No. of children attending the school on the day of visit |  |  |
|  | PS | UPS |  |
|  | 2726(68.13\%) | 853(68.07\%) |  |
| IV | No. of children availing MDM as per MDM Register |  |  |
|  | PS | UPS |  |
|  | 2726(68.13\%) | 848(67.67\%) |  |
| V | No. of children actually availing MDM on the day of visit |  |  |
|  | PS | UPS |  |
|  | 2726(68.13\%) | 849(67.75\%) |  |
| VI | No. of children availed MDM on the previous day. |  |  |
|  | PS | UPS |  |
|  | 2802(70.03\%) | 889(70.94\%) |  |

## 3. REGULARITY IN DELIVERING FOOD GRAINS TO SCHOOL LEVEL:

I. Is school/implementing agency receiving food grain regularly?

100 percent of the visited schools are receiving food grain regularly.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

ii) If there is delay in delivering food grains, what is the extent of delay and reasons for the same?

| PS | NA |
| :---: | :---: |
| UPS | NA |

## iii. Is buffer stock of one-month's requirement maintained?

Buffer stock is maintained in 100 percent of the visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

iv. Is the quantity of food grain supplied was as per the marked/indicated weight?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

$v$. Is the food grain delivered at the school?
Food grains are delivered at the school doorsteps in All primary and Upper primary visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

vi. Is the quality of food grain good?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - |



## 6. $\quad$ VARIETY OF MENU:

7. I. Has the school displayed its weekly menu at a place noticeable to community?

Menu has been displayed in 92.59 percent primary and 100 percent Upper primary of the visited schools on the notice board or wall and it is adhered to in 100 percent of the schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $25(92.59 \%)$ | $02(7.40 \%)$ | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

## II. Is it able to adhere to the menu displayed?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

## III. Who decides the menu?

| PS |  |  |  | UPS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher | Cook | Govt. | Other | Teacher | Cook | Govt. | Other |
| - | - | $27(100 \%)$ | - | - | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

IV. Is there variety in the food served or is the same type of food served daily?

Generally the schools serve rice and pulse everyday but a little variety in serving food is noticed in all the visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| - | $27(100 \%)$ | - | $11(100 \%)$ |

## V. Dose the daily menu includes rice / wheat preparation, dal and vegetables?

Rice, Dal and sometimes vegetables are included in daily menu.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - |


| 8. | QUALITY \& QUANTITY OF MEAL : |
| :--- | :--- |
| Feedback from Children on - |  |
| a) Quality of meal |  |
| The overall quality of meal was generally good in all the visited school. |  |
| $\qquad$PS   UPS <br> Yes No Yes No <br> $27(100 \%)$ - $11(100 \%)$ - |  |
| b) Quantity of meal |  |
| Quantity of mid day meal was sufficient |  |
| PS    <br> Yes No Yes No <br> $27(100 \%)$ - $11(100 \%)$ - |  |

c) \{If children were not happy, please give reasons and suggestions to improve.\}

Children were quite happy with the quality and quantity of food.

| School | PS | UPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason, Quality of meal | NA | NA |
| Reason, Quantity of meal | NA | NA |

9. SUPPLEMENTARY:
a) Is there school Health Card maintained for each child?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $26(96.29 \%)$ | $01(3.70 \%)$ | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

b) What is the frequency of health check-up?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $26(96.29 \%)$ | $01(3.70 \%)$ | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

c) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin - A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?

Iron Folic Acid and Micronutrients have been distributed in 96.29 percent primary and 100 percent Upper primary of the visited schools. Vitamin - A dosage is distributed in schools added-worming medicines were served in schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $26(96.29 \%)$ | $01(3.70 \%)$ | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

d) Who administers these medicines and at what frequency?

Nearest Govt. doctor or CMO team. Administers them half yearly

| Who administers <br> these medicines | PS | UPS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CMO Team | $02(7.69 \%)$ | $02(18.18 \%)$ |
| CHC Team | $04(15.38 \%)$ | - |
| NGO Team | $02(7.69 \%)$ | $01(9.09 \%)$ |
| PHC Team | $18(69.23 \%)$ | $08(72.72 \%)$ |
| Other | - | - |
| Total Schools | $26(100 \%)$ | $11(100 \%)$ |

10. STATUS OF COOKS:
I) Who cooks the meal? (Cook cum helper appointed by the Department)

Cook cum helpers are engaged by department and cook serve the meals.

| Who cooks the meal | PS | UPS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Departmental Cook | $27(100 \%)$ | $11(100 \%)$ |
| SMC | - | - |
| Self Help Group | - | - |
| NGO | - | - |
| Contractor | - | - |
| Total Schools | $27(100 \%)$ | $11(100 \%)$ |

II. Who serves the meal? (Cook cum helper appointed by the Department)

| Who serves the meal | PS | UPS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Departmental Cook | $27(100 \%)$ | $11(100 \%)$ |
| Teachers | - | - |
| Students | - | - |
| Others | - | - |
| Total Schools | $27(100 \%)$ | $11(100 \%)$ |

## III. Is the number of cooks and helpers engaged in the school as per GOI norms?

Yes, the number of cook cum helpers is adequate to meet the requirement of the school

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

IV. Is the number of cooks?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $02(2.63 \%)$ | Male | - |
| Female | $74(97.36 \%)$ | Female | $27(100 \%)$ |
| Total | $76(100 \%)$ | Total | $27(100 \%)$ |

V) What is remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers and mode of payment?

The cooks are paid Rs. 1500/- per month.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank | $25(92.59 \%)$ | Bank | $11(100 \%)$ |
| Cash | $02(7.40 \%)$ | Cash | - |
| Monthly Received <br> Amount per cook | $1500 /=$ | Monthly Received <br> Amount per cook | $1500 /=$ |

## III) Is the remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers regularly?

The cook cum helpers in all the visited schools informed that they were receiving the honorarium regularly.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

IV) Specify the social Composition of cooks cum helpers?

Cook cum helpers in most of the schools belongs to SC, OBC and ST category.

| Social Composition of <br> cooks cum helpers? | PS | UPS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SC | $30(39.47 \%)$ | $15(55.55 \%)$ |
| ST | $11(14.47 \%)$ | $03(11.11 \%)$ |
| OBC | $28(36.84 \%)$ | $07(25.92 \%)$ |
| Minority | - | - |
| Other | $7(9.21 \%)$ | $02(7.40 \%)$ |
| Total | $76(100 \%)$ | $27(100 \%)$ |

11. INFRASTRUCTURE:
I) Is a pucca kitchen shed-cum-store

Pucca kitchen cum store is available in 96.29 percent primary and 81.81 percent Upper primary of the visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $26(96.29 \%)$ | $01(3.70 \%)$ | $09(81.81 \%)$ | $02(18.18 \%)$ |

## II) Constructed and in use

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $26(100 \%)$ | - | $09(100 \%)$ | - |

III) Scheme under which Kitchen sheds constructed -

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MDM | SSA | MDM | SSA |
| - | $26(100 \%)$ | - | $09(100 \%)$ |

IV) Constructed but not in use (Reasons for not using)

| PS | NA |
| :---: | :---: |
| UPS | NA |

V) Sanctioned, but construction not started

| PS | UPS |
| :---: | :---: |
| $01(3.70 \%)$ | $02(18.18 \%)$ |

VI) Not sanctioned

| PS | UPS |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | - |


| 12. | In case the pucca kitchen shed is $n$ <br> In the sample schools where pucca kitc and food grains/other ingredients are st head teacher's office. <br> Where are the food grains/other in | not available, wh hen is not available tored in a Classroo <br> ngredients being <br> \& 01 Classroom \& 02 Classroom | the food being cooked is cooked in Extra Classroom vided by state govt. or in the <br> d? $\square$ <br> ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13. | Whether potable water is available Yes, drinking water facility is availabl | for cooking an le in all the visited | king purpose? |
| 14. | Whether utensils are available fo Yes, adequate kitchen utensils are av | cooking food? I <br> able in all the vi <br> Inadequate <br> PS | able is it adequate? hools. |
| 15. | What is the kind of fuel used? <br> LPG is used in 29.62 percent primary And in rest of the schools firewood is | nd 0 percent Upper sed for preparing | ary of the visited schools meal. |


#### Abstract

16. SAFETY \& HYGIENE:


a) General Impression

During the visit it was noticed that 44.44 percent of the visited school were fulfilling the indicator of cooking and serving the food in neat, hygienic as well as safe conditions.

The environment

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |
| 12 | 15 | - | 03 | 08 | - |
| $(44.44 \%)$ | $(55.55 \%)$ |  | $(27.27 \%)$ | $(72.72 \%)$ |  |

## Safety

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |
| 09 | 18 | - | 03 | 08 | - |
| $(33.33 \%)$ | $(66.66 \%)$ |  | $(27.27 \%)$ | $(72.72 \%)$ |  |

## Hygiene

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |
| 10 | 17 | - | 02 | 09 | - |
| $(37.03 \%)$ | $(62.69 \%)$ |  | $(18.18 \%)$ | $(81.81 \%)$ |  |

## b) Are children encouraged to wash hands before and after eating

Children are encouraged to wash hands before and after eating in all the visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

c) Do the children take meals in an orderly manner?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - |


III) Teacher's

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |
| 10 | 17 | - | 05 | 06 | - |
| $(37.03 \%)$ | $(62.96 \%)$ |  | $(45.45 \%)$ | $(54.54 \%)$ |  |

IV) Is any roster being maintained by the community members for supervision of the MDM?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $26(96.29 \%)$ | $01(3.70 \%)$ | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

V) Are the parents/community members aware about the following :
a) Quantity of MDM per child

|  | Poor | Satisfaction | Good | Very <br> Good | Excellent <br> . |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS | $03(11.11 \%)$ | $21(77.77 \%)$ | $03(11.11 \%)$ | - | - |
| UPS | - | $10(90.90 \%)$ | $01(9.09 \%)$ | - | - |

b) Entitlement of quantity and types of nutrients in MDM per child as supplied in the menu :

|  | Poor | Satisfaction | Good | Very <br> Good | Excellent <br> . |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS | $01(3.70 \%)$ | $22(81.48 \%)$ | $04(14.81 \%)$ | - | - |
| UPS | - | $11(100 \%)$ | - | - | - |

Vi) General awareness about the overall implementation of MDM Programme

|  | Quite <br> satisfactory | Satisfaction | Good | Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS | $01(3.70 \%)$ | $22(81.48 \%)$ | $04(14.81 \%)$ | - |
| UPS | $01(9.09 \%)$ | $09(81.81 \%)$ | $01(9.09 \%)$ | - |

VII) Source of awareness about the MDM scheme :

| Source | (Tick the appropriate box) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | PS | UPS |
| Newspaper / Magazine | $02(7.40 \%)$ | $01(9.09 \%)$ |
| Villagers/Friends/ Relatives | $01(3.70 \%)$ |  |
| Teacher | $23(85.18 \%)$ | $10(90.90 \%)$ |
| School (where the child is <br> studying) | $01(3.70 \%)$ | - |
| Radio | - | - |
| Television | - | - |
| Website | - | - |
| Any other (Pls. specify) | - | - |

18. INSPECTION \& SUPERVISION
I) Has the mid day meal Programme been inspected by any state / district / block level officers/officials?
$92.59 \%$ of the Primary schools \& $100 \%$ Upper primary schools were inspected by the block level officials mainly by CRC and BRCs.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $25(92.59 \%)$ | $02(7.40 \%)$ | $11(100 \%)$ | - |

If yes-

| PS |  |  |  | UPS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | District | Block | Other | State | District | Block | Other |
| 01 | 11 | 17 | 05 | - | 08 | 10 | 08 |

## II) The frequency of such inspections?

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekly | Monthly | at Times | Weekly | Monthly | at Times |
| 03 | 11 | 11 | 02 | 04 | 05 |


| 19. | IMPACT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Has the mid day meal improved the enrollment, attendance of children in school, |  |
| any improvement in general well being, nutritional status of the children (to be |  |
| verified from school record, discussion with students, teachers and parents. Is |  |
| there any other incidental benefit to the children and school due to serving of |  |
| mid-day-meal by VEC, PRI members? |  |

There is no doubt that MDM bridges the gap and results in bringing about social justice because children belonging to different castes enjoy taking MDM collectively in a place which sets aside the feeling of discrimination.

## B. Any other issues relevant to MDM implementation - Investigator comments

In all the Primary and Upper Primary schools of the district of U S NAGAR, the hot cooked Mid Day meal is served daily without fail. The students, teachers \& Parents of the children are happy with the quantity \& quality of food served. On enquiry with parents they say that daily good meal is served which comprises of Dal, rice \& green vegetables.
All the schools are regularly getting the food grains and almost all the schools have one month stock of food grains. The quality of food grains is good and the teachers have reported that isf the quality is not good they return back the food grains back to the shopkeeper. Almost all the schools have samples of rice grains for comparisons on regular supply. All the schools receive the cooking expenses in advance. Around $5 \%$ of the schools have not displayed the menu on the wall, but the food is given according to the menu in all these schools.

The school children are happy with the quantity \& quality of MDM and they eat full to their satisfaction. In almost all schools the Health card was found and the students are given the medications from time to time.
The MDM is cooked and distributed by departmental cooks, who are selected by SMC.
Around 7\% schools don't have Kitchen and store room so the food is cooked in extra room or in open.
In most of the schools the food is cooked on firewood. In around $6 \%$ of the schools the MDM is not being monitored properly by the Department.
In almost all the schools improvement in enrollment, attendance and health of students has been noticed due to MDM. The school children are happy with the quantity \& quality of MDM and they eat full to their satisfaction. In almost all schools the Health card was found and the students are given the medications from time to time.
The MDM is cooked and distributed by departmental cooks, who are selected by SMC.
Around 7\% schools don't have Kitchen and store room so the food is cooked in extra room or in open.

In most of the schools the food is cooked on firewood. In around 6\% of the schools the MDM is not being monitored properly by the Department.
In almost all the schools improvement in enrollment, attendance and health of students has been noticed due to MDM.

## List of Udham Singh Nagar district

## 1. School not displayed its weekly menu

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05120200101 | PS Chattarpur Rudrapur | PS | Rudrapur |
| 2 | 05120102801 | PS Rajpura | PS | Gadarpur |

2. Health Card is not maintained for each child

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05120200101 | PS Chattarpur Rudrapur | PS | Rudrapur |

3. Children are not given micronutrients \& de-worming medicine periodically

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05120200101 | PS Chattarpur Rudrapur | PS | Rudrapur |

## 4. Pucca kitchen shed-cum-store is not available

| S. $\mathbf{N}$ | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05120108701 | PS Barakheda | PS | Gadarpur |
| 2 | 05120608402 | UPS Malpura | UPS | Sitarganj |
| 3 | 05120103002 | UPS Balram Nagar | UPS | Gadarpur |

## 5. Roster is not maintained by the community members for supervision of the MDM

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05120200101 | PS Chattarpur Rudrapur | PS | Rudrapur |

## 6. Schools the payment to cooks is made in cash

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05120704517 | PS Namuna | PS | Bajpur |
| 2 | 05120703401 | PS Khamariya | PS | Bajpur |

7. No inspection of mid day meal Programme

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05120707101 | PS Kela Kheda | PS | Bajpur |
| 2 | 05120702101 | PS Gangapur | PS | Bajpur |

## 8. No improvement in Attendance by MDM

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05120701302 | PS Chakarpur | PS | Bajpur |

## MDM
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## District -Nainital <br> INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA)

SSA is an effort to universalize elementary education by community ownership of the school system. It is a response to the demand for quality basic education all over the country. The SSA Programme is also an attempt to provide an opportunity for improving human capabilities to all children through provision of community owned quality education in a mission mode. Implementation of SSA Programme includes National Programme for Education of Girls at Elementary Level, Mid-day-Meal Scheme and Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidhyalaya Scheme, hereinafter referred to as Schemes, for two years from 1st April 2013 to 31st March 2015.The goal is also there to bridge social, regional and gender gaps, with the active participation of the community in the management of schools.

### 1.2 Objectives of Present Study

The main objectives of the study are:

- To assess and analysis the implementation of the approved interventions and processes of interventions at School and habitation levels, keeping in view the goals of these schemes and the provisions under RTE Act, 2009.
- To Identify the social, cultural, linguistic or other barriers coming in the way of successful implementation of the schematic interventions and attainment of these goals.


### 1.3 Methodology

A total number of 40 schools which includes 27 primary schools, 12 upper primary schools and 1 KGBV have been randomly selected for the study in Nainital district, as decided by the Ministry. 16 schools out of 40 schools are from the urban part of the district, 4 schools have civil works sanctioned for them and 3 schools have minimum of three CWSN (priority to those having other than orthopedically impaired), 4 schools each covered under the Computer Aided Learning (CAL) and 1 KGBV scheme.

### 1.4 Criteria of Sample Selection

The following criteria were followed in the selection of sample primary and upper primary schools in Nainital district:

- Higher gender gap in enrolment,
- Higher proportion of SC/ST students,
- Low retention rate and higher drop-out rate,
- Schools with a minimum of three CWSN.
- The habitation where the school is located has sizeable number of OoSC.
- The habitation where the school is located has in-bound and out-bound seasonal migration,
- The habitation where the school is located is known to have sizable number of urban deprived children.
- The school is located in a forest or far flung area.
- The habitation where the school is located witnesses recurrent floods or some other natural calamity.


### 1.5 Sample Design of the Study

A total number of 40 schools have been taken as sample schools from Nainital district. Out of these 40 Schools, 24 schools are from rural areas. 1 KGBV (Rural blocks KGBV) have been selected.

Table-1.1- Number of Sample Schools,
District - Nainital

| Sl. <br> No. | Name of Block | Primary <br> Schools | Upper Primary <br> Schools | KGBV | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Haldwani | $14(35 \%)$ | $04(10 \%)$ | - | $18(45 \%)$ |
| 2 | Kota Bagh | $09(22.5 \%)$ | $04(10 \%)$ | - | $13(32.5 \%)$ |
| 3 | Bhemtaal | $04(10 \%)$ | $04(10 \%)$ | - | $08(20 \%)$ |
| 4 | Okhalkanda | - | - | $01(2.5 \%)$ | $01(2.5 \%)$ |
|  | Total | $27(67.5 \%)$ | $12(30 \%)$ | $01(2.5 \%)$ | $40(100 \%)$ |

All types of 40 sample schools selected from Nainital district. Out of which, 27 are Primary Schools, 12 are Upper Primary Schools and 1 are KGBV. The care has been taken that each type of school gets representation in the Sample.

## District Nainital <br> 1. LIST OF SCHOOL WITH SELECTION CRITERIA

| S. N | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DISE } \\ \text { School Code } \end{gathered}$ | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 05110404215 | PS Balak Raajpura | PS | Haldwani |
| 2 | 05110400162 | UPS Banbhulpura | UPS | Haldwani |
| 3 | 05110404248 | PS Gandhi Nagar | PS | Haldwani |
| 4 | 05110400166 | UPS Kaladungi | UPS | Haldwani |
| 5 | 05110404249 | PS Banbhulpura | PS | Haldwani |
| 6 | 05110409327 | PS Kaladungi | PS | Haldwani |
| 7 | 05110404269 | PS Kanya Rajpura | PS | Haldwani |
| 8 | 05110404294 | PS Kanya Bradipura | PS | Haldwani |
| 9 | 05110413901 | PS Bakuliya | PS | Haldwani |
| 10 | 05110413801 | PS Haathikhaal | PS | Haldwani |
| 11 | 05110407201 | PS Motahaltu | PS | Haldwani |
| 12 | 05110402901 | UPS Motahaltu | UPS | Haldwani |
| 13 | 05110407001 | PS Motinagar | PS | Haldwani |
| 14 | 05110418301 | PS Gaujajali | PS | Haldwani |
| 15 | 05110407901 | PS Haidagajjar | PS | Haldwani |
| 16 | 05110408601 | PS Phoolchoud | PS | Haldwani |
| 17 | 05110402501 | UPS Kanya Gaujajali | UPS | Haldwani |
| 18 | 05110404325 | PS Talli | PS | Haldwani |
| 19 | 05110509801 | UPS Vijaypur | UPS | Kota Bagh |
| 20 | 05110501202 | UPS Gujarpur Banki | UPS | Kota Bagh |
| 21 | 05110500402 | UPS Udyapuri | UPS | Kota Bagh |
| 22 | 05110501102 | UPS Jhalubajala | UPS | Kota Bagh |
| 23 | 05110500301 | PS Choti Haldwani | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 24 | 05110501101 | PS Jhaluwa Jwala | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 25 | 05110500101 | PS Kaladongi | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 26 | 05110500401 | PS Bheempuri Udyapuri | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 27 | 05110500801 | PS Pratap pur | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 28 | 05110509802 | PS Vijaypur | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 29 | 05110500201 | PS Puranpur | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 30 | 05110500901 | PS Vridrampur | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 31 | 05110500501 | PS Devipur $2^{\text {nd }}$ | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 32 | 05110300210 | PS Aryasamaj Mallitaal | PS | Bhemtaal |
| 33 | 05110300239 | UPS Kanya Mallitaal | UPS | Bhemtaal |
| 34 | 05110300217 | PS Tallitaal | PS | Bhemtaal |
| 35 | 05110300219 | PS Kanya Tallital | PS | Bhemtaal |
| 36 | 05110300238 | UPS Kanya Tallital | UPS | Bhemtaal |


| 37 | 05110300237 | UPS Balak Mallitaal | UPS | Bhemtaal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 38 | 05110300236 | UPS Tallital | UPS | Bhemtaal |
| 39 | 05110300205 | PS Mallitaal | PS | Bhemtaal |
| 40 |  | KGBV Khanshu | KGBV | Okhalkanda |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## District: Nainital

| 1. | Name of the Monitoring <br> Institution | LUCKNOW UNIVERSITY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Period of the report | 1st April 2013 to 31st Sept 2013 |
| 3. | Name of the District | Nainital |
| 4. | Date of visit to the Districts/ | 14.12 .13 to 18.12.13 |

## Mid-Day Meal Programmes by the Monitoring Institutes

 (2013-14)
## A. At School Level

| S.N |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | REGULARITY IN SERVING MID-DAY MEAL |  |  |  |  |
|  | Whether the school is serving hot cooked meal daily? If there was interruption, what was the extent and reasons for the same? |  |  |  |  |
|  | Regularity in Serving <br> Mid Day Meal | No. of Schools |  |  |  |
|  |  | PS |  | UPS |  |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
|  | By Students <br> If No given Reason | 27(100\%) | - | 12(100\%) | - |
|  |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | By Teachers | 27(100\%) | - | 12(100\%) | - |
|  |  | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - |
|  | By Parents | 27(100\%) | - | 12(100\%) | - |
|  |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | By MDM Register | 27(100\%) | - | 12(100\%) | - |
|  | If No given Reason | - | - | - | - |

## 2. $\quad$ TRENDS:

## Extent of variation (As per school records vis-à-vis actual position/status on the

 day of visit)The total enrollment of students in Primary schools was 3141 \& 524 in Upper primary schools. No. of children present on the day of survey was 2388(76.02\%) in Primary \& 380(72.51\%) in Upper primary schools. Number of children availing MDM as per MDM Register was 2387(75.99\%) in Primary \& 380(72.51\%) in Upper primary schools. The actual number of children having MDM on the day of survey was 2389(76.05\%) in Primary \& 382(72.90\%) in Upper primary schools. But MDM served a day previous to the visit was 2552(81.24\%) in Primary \& 388(74.04\%) in Upper primary schools.


## 3. REGULARITY IN DELIVERING FOOD GRAINS TO SCHOOL LEVEL:

I. Is school/implementing agency receiving food grain regularly?

100 percent of the visited schools are receiving food grain regularly.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

ii) If there is delay in delivering food grains, what is the extent of delay and reasons for the same?

| PS | NA |
| :---: | :---: |
| UPS | NA |

## iii. Is buffer stock of one-month's requirement maintained?

Buffer stock is maintained in 100 percent of the visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

iv. Is the quantity of food grain supplied was as per the marked/indicated weight?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

v. Is the food grain delivered at the school?

Food grains are delivered at the school doorsteps in 92.59 percent primary and 91.66 percent Upper primary visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $25(92.59 \%)$ | $02(7.40 \%)$ | $11(91.66 \%)$ | $01(8.33 \%)$ |

vi. Is the quality of food grain good?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |



| 6. | VARIETY OF MENU: |
| :--- | :--- |

7. I. Has the school displayed its weekly menu at a place noticeable to community? Menu has been displayed in 100 percent primary and 100 percent Upper primary of the visited schools on the notice board or wall and it is adhered to in 100 percent of the schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

## II. Is it able to adhere to the menu displayed?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

III. Who decides the menu?

| PS |  |  |  | UPS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher | Cook | Govt. | Other | Teacher | Cook | Govt. | Other |
| - | - | $27(100 \%)$ | - | - | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

IV. Is there variety in the food served or is the same type of food served daily?

Generally the schools serve rice and pulse everyday but a little variety in serving food is noticed in all the visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| - | $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ |

V. Dose the daily menu includes rice / wheat preparation, dal and vegetables?

Rice, Dal and sometimes vegetables are included in daily menu.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $26(96.29 \%)$ | $01(3.70 \%)$ | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

8. $\quad$ QUALITY \& QUANTITY OF MEAL :

Feedback from Children on -
a) Quality of meal

The overall quality of meal was generally good in all the visited school

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

b) Quantity of meal

Quantity of mid day meal was sufficient

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

c) \{If children were not happy, please give reasons and suggestions to improve.\}

Children were quite happy with the quality and quantity of food.

| School | PS | UPS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reason, Quality of meal | NA | NA |
| Reason, Quantity of meal | NA | NA |


\section*{9. SUPPLEMENTARY: <br> a) Is there school Health Card maintained for each child? <br> | PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $23(85.18 \%)$ | $04(14.81 \%)$ | $12(100 \%)$ | - |}

b) What is the frequency of health check-up?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 29 | - | 16 | - |

c) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin - A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?

Iron Folic Acid and Micronutrients have been distributed in 100 percent primary and 100 percent Upper primary of the visited schools. Vitamin - A dosage is distributed in schools andde-worming medicines were served in schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

d) Who administers these medicines and at what frequency?

Nearest Govt. doctor or NRHM team. Administers them half yearly

| Who administers these <br> medicines | PS | UPS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CMO Team | $03(11.11 \%)$ | - |
| CHC Team | - | - |
| NGO Team | - | - |
| PHC Team | $13(48.14 \%)$ | $07(58.33 \%)$ |
|  <br> Homeopathy) | $27(100 \%)$ | $05(41.66 \%)$ |
| Total Schools |  | $12(100 \%)$ |


| 10. | I) Who cooks the meal? (Cook cum helper appointed by the Department) Cook cum helpers are engaged by department and cook serve the meals. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Who cooks the meal | PS | UPS |
|  | Departmental Cook | 27(100\%) | 12(100\%) |
|  | SMC | - | - |
|  | Self Help Group | - | - |
|  | NGO | - | - |
|  | Contractor | - | - |
|  | Total Schools | 27(100\%) | 12(100\%) |

II. Who serves the meal? (Cook cum helper appointed by the Department)

| Who serves the meal | PS | UPS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Departmental Cook | $27(100 \%)$ | $12(100 \%)$ |
| Teachers | - | - |
| Students | - | - |
| Others | - | - |
| Total Schools | $27(100 \%)$ | $12(100 \%)$ |

III. Is the number of cooks and helpers engaged in the school as per GOI norms?

Yes, the number of cook cum helpers is adequate to meet the requirement of the school

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

IV. Is the number of cooks?

|  | PS | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $01(1.61 \%)$ | Male | - |
| Female | $61(98.38 \%)$ | Female | $20(100 \%)$ |
| Total | $62(100 \%)$ | Total | $20(100 \%)$ |

V) What is remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers and mode of payment?

The cooks are paid Rs. 1500/- per month.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank | $23(85.18 \%)$ | Bank | $10(83.33 \%)$ |
| Cash | $04(14.81 \%)$ | Cash | $02(16.66 \%)$ |
| Monthly Received <br> Amount per cook | $1500 /-$ | Monthly Received <br> Amount per cook | $1500 /-$ |

## III) Is the remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers regularly?

The cook cum helpers in all the visited schools informed that they were receiving the honorarium regularly.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

IV) Specify the social Composition of cooks cum helpers?

Cook cum helpers in most of the schools belongs to Other (general cast), SC and OBC category.

| Social Composition of <br> cooks cum helpers? | PS | UPS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SC | $14(22.58 \%)$ | $06(30 \%)$ |
| ST | $02(3.22 \%)$ | - |
| OBC | $10(16.12 \%)$ | $01(5 \%)$ |
| Minority | $02(3.22 \%)$ | $02(10 \%)$ |
| Other | $34(54.83 \%)$ | $11(55 \%)$ |
| Total | $62(100 \%)$ | $20(100 \%)$ |

11. INFRASTRUCTURE:
I) Is a pucca kitchen shed-cum-store

Pucca kitchen cum store is available in 81.48 percent primary and 83.33 percent Upper primary of the visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $22(81.48 \%)$ | $05(18.51 \%)$ | $10(83.33 \%)$ | $02(16.66 \%)$ |

II) Constructed and in use

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $22(100 \%)$ | - | $10(100 \%)$ | - |

III) Scheme under which Kitchen sheds constructed -

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MDM | SSA | MDM | SSA |
| - | $22(100 \%)$ | - | $10(100 \%)$ |

IV) Constructed but not in use (Reasons for not using)

| PS | - |
| :---: | :---: |
| UPS | - |

V) Under construction

| PS | UPS |
| :---: | :---: |
| $02(7.40 \%)$ | - |

VI) Sanctioned, but construction not started

| PS | UPS |
| :---: | :---: |
| $03(11.11 \%)$ | $02(16.66 \%)$ |

VI) Not sanctioned

| PS | UPS |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | - |

12. In case the pucca kitchen shed is not available, where is the food being cooked

In the sample schools where pucca kitchen is not available food is cooked in open space in verandah and other Classroom food grains/other ingredients are stored in a Classroom provided by state govt. or in the head teacher's office.

| where is the food being cooked | PS | UPS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Food Make in other Classroom | $02(7.40 \%)$ | - |
| Food make in open ground in <br> Campus | $03(11.11 \%)$ | $02(16.66 \%)$ |
| Food make in other place | - | - |
| other | - | - |

Where are the food grains/other ingredients being stored?

| PS | $22(81.48 \%)$ store, $4(14.81 \%)$ Classroom and $1(3.70 \%)$ principal office |
| :---: | :--- |
| UPS | $10(83.33 \%)$ store and $2(16.66 \%)$ Classroom |


Hygiene

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |
| $13(48.14 \%)$ | $13(48.14 \%)$ | $01(3.70 \%)$ | $05(41.66 \%)$ | $07(58.33 \%)$ | - |

b) Are children encouraged to wash hands before and after eating

Children are encouraged to wash hands before and after eating in all the visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

c) Do the children take meals in an orderly manner?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

d) Conservation of water?

Efforts were made in all the schools to conserve water and teachers encourage students to save water.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

e) Is the cooking process and storage of fuel safe, not posing any fire hazard?

In about 96.29 percent primary and 100 percent Upper Primary of the visited schools visited MI found that the cooking process and fuel storage was and safe was not posing fire hazard. However, in 3.70 percent primary of the visited schools it was not safe as the meals were either cooked in open or the makeshift kitchen was so small and very near the classrooms. However there is strong need for safe storage of fuel along with cooking ingredients.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $26(96.29 \%)$ | $01(3.70 \%)$ | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

17. COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND AWARENESS:

There was Good \& Fair community participation noticed in about more than 96 percent of the visited schools Primary schools, however in almost 10 percent of the Upper Primary schools visited the community participation requires strengthening.

## I) Extent of participation by Parents

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |
| 03 | 23 | 01 | - | 11 | 01 |
| $(11.11 \%)$ | $(85.18 \%)$ | $(3.70 \%)$ |  | $(91.66 \%)$ | $(8.33 \%)$ |

## II) SMCs

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |
| 04 | 23 | - | 01 | 10 | 01 |
| $(14.81 \%)$ | $(85.18 \%)$ |  | $(8.33 \%)$ | $(83.33 \%)$ | $(8.33 \%)$ |

III) Teacher's

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |
| 14 | 13 | - | 08 | 04 | - |
| $(51.85 \%)$ | $(48.14 \%)$ |  | $(66.66 \%)$ | $(33.33 \%)$ |  |

IV) Is any roster being maintained by the community members for supervision of the MDM?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(100 \%)$ | - | $12(100 \%)$ | - |

V) Are the parents/community members aware about the following :
a) Quantity of MDM per child

|  | Poor | Satisfaction | Good | Very Good | Excellent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS | 01 | 24 | 02 | - | - |
|  | $(3.70 \%)$ | $(88.88 \%)$ | $(16.66 \%)$ |  |  |
| UPS | 01 | 10 | 01 | - | - |
|  | $(8.33 \%)$ | $(83.33 \%)$ | $(8.33 \%)$ |  |  |




## B. Any other issues relevant to MDM implementation - Investigator comments

In all the Primary and Upper Primary schools of the district of Nainital, the hot cooked Mid Day meal is served daily without fail. The students, teachers \& Parents of the children are happy with the quantity \& quality of food served. On enquiry with parents they say that daily good meal is served which comprises of Dal, rice \& green vegetables.

Almost all the schools are regularly getting the food grains and almost all the schools have one month stock of food grains.

The quality of food grains is good and the teachers have reported that if the quality is not good they return back the food grains back to the shopkeeper. Almost all the schools have samples of rice grains for comparisons on regular supply. All the schools receive the cooking expenses in advance.

In $7 \%$ of the schools, the conveyance charges of bringing the ration to the school from the shop are not being paid by the shopkeeper. In these schools the teachers have to pay from their pockets the conveyance charges to bring ration to the school from the ration shop.

In all the schools menu is displayed on the wall, and the food is given according to the menu in all these schools.

The school childen are happy with the quantity \& quality of MDM and they eat full to their satisfaction. In $10 \%$ of all schools surveyed, the Health card was not found but the students of all schools are given the medications from time to time.

The MDM is cooked and distributed by departmental cooks, who are selected by SMC. In $15 \%$ schools the payment to cooks is made in cash as they do not have a bank account.

Around $17 \%$ schools don't have Kitchen and store room so the food is cooked in extra room or in open. In 3 schools don't have provision of drinking water in school premises.

In most of the schools the food is cooked on firewood. In $46 \%$ all of the schools the MDM is not being monitored properly by the Department.

In all the schools improvement in enrollment, attendance and health of students has been noticed due to MDM.

## List of Nainital district

## 1. Food grain not delivered at the school

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05110500901 | PS Vridrampur | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 2 | 05110407201 | PS Motahaltu | PS | Haldwani |
| 3 | 05110402901 | UPS Motahaltu | UPS | Haldwani |

2. Menu does not include rice / wheat preparation, dal and vegetables

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05110300219 | PS Kanya Tallital | PS | Bhemtaal |

## 3. Health Card is not maintained for each child

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05110413801 | PS Haathikhaal | PS | Haldwani |
| 2 | 05110407201 | PS Motahaltu | PS | Haldwani |
| 3 | 05110300205 | PS Mallitaal | PS | Bhemtaal |
| 4 | 05110404215 | PS Balak Raajpura | PS | Haldwani |

## 4. Schools the payment to cooks is made in cash

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05110500901 | PS Vridrampur | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 2 | 05110500501 | PS Devipur 2 | nd | PS |
| 3 | 05110300219 | PS Kanya Tallital | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 4 | 05110500801 | PS Pratap pur | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 5 | 05110300236 | UPS Tallital | UPS | Bhemtaal |
| 6 | 05110501202 | UPS Gujarpur Banki | UPS | Kota Bagh |

## 5. Pucca kitchen shed-cum-store is not available

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05110500901 | PS Vridrampur | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 2 | 05110404294 | PS Kanya Bradipura | PS | Haldwani |
| 3 | 05110404325 | PS Talli | PS | Haldwani |
| 4 | 05110501101 | PS Jhaluwa Jwal | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 5 | 05110413901 | PS Bakuliya | PS | Haldwani |


| 6 | 05110300236 | UPS Tallital | UPS | Bhemtaal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 05110300238 | UPS Kanya Tallital | UPS | Bhemtaal |

## 6. No inspection of mid day meal programme

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05110500901 | PS Vridrampur | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 2 | 05110408601 | PS Phoolchoud | PS | Haldwani |
| 3 | 05110413801 | PS Haathikhaal | PS | Haldwani |
| 4 | 05110404294 | PS Kanya Bradipura | PS | Haldwani |
| 5 | 05110404325 | PS Talli | PS | Haldwani |
| 6 | 05110409327 | PS Kaladungi | PS | Haldwani |
| 7 | 05110300205 | PS Mallitaal | PS | Bhemtaal |
| 8 | 05110500401 | PS Bheempuri <br> Udyapuri | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 9 | 05110500301 | PS Choti Haldwani | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 10 | 05110418301 | PS Gaujajali | PS | Haldwani |
| 11 | 05110407901 | PS Haidagajjar | PS | Haldwani |
| 12 | 05110404249 | PS Banbhulpura | PS | Haldwani |
| 13 | 05110500101 | PS Kaladongi | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 14 | 05110402501 | UPS Kanya Gaujajali | UPS | Haldwani |
| 15 | 05110300237 | UPS Balak Mallitaal | UPS | Bhemtaal |
| 16 | 05110501102 | UPS Jhalubajala | UPS | Kota Bagh |
| 17 | 05110500402 | UPS Udyapuri | UPS | Kota Bagh |
| 18 | 05110300239 | UPS Kanya Mallitaal | UPS | Bhemtaal |

## 7. No improvement in Environment by MDM

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05110500801 | PS Pratap pur | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 2 | 05110501202 | UPS Gujarpur Banki | UPS | Kota Bagh |

## 8. No improvement in Attendance by MDM

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05110500801 | PS Pratap pur | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 2 | 05110501202 | UPS Gujarpur Banki | UPS | Kota Bagh |

## 9. No improvement in Health by MDM

| S. N | School Dise <br> Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05110500801 | PS Pratap pur | PS | Kota Bagh |
| 2 | 05110501202 | UPS Gujarpur Banki | UPS | Kota Bagh |

## MDM <br> District - Almora
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## District - Almora

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA)

SSA is an effort to universalize elementary education by community ownership of the school system. It is a response to the demand for quality basic education all over the country. The SSA Programme is also an attempt to provide an opportunity for improving human capabilities to all children through provision of community owned quality education in a mission mode. Implementation of SSA Programme includes National Programme for Education of Girls at Elementary Level, Mid-day-Meal Scheme and Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidhyalaya Scheme, hereinafter referred to as Schemes, for two years from 1st April 2013 to 31st March 2015.The goal is also there to bridge social, regional and gender gaps, with the active participation of the community in the management of schools.

### 1.2 Objectives of Present Study

The main objectives of the study are:

- To assess and analysis the implementation of the approved interventions and processes of interventions at School and habitation levels, keeping in view the goals of these schemes and the provisions under RTE Act, 2009.
- To Identify the social, cultural, linguistic or other barriers coming in the way of successful implementation of the schematic interventions and attainment of these goals.


### 1.3 Methodology

A total number of 40 Schools which includes 29 Primary Schools, 09 Upper Primary Schools and 2 KGBVs. have been randomly selected for the study in Almora district, as decided by the Ministry. 08 Schools out of 40 Schools are from the urban part of the district, 2 Schools have civil works sanctioned for them, and 3 Schools have minimum of three CWSN (priority to those having other than orthopedically impaired), 3 Schools each covered under the Computer Aided Learning (CAL) and 2 KGBV scheme.

### 1.4 Criteria of Sample Selection

The following criteria were followed in the selection of sample Primary and Upper Primary Schools in Almora district:

- Higher gender gap in enrolment,
- Higher proportion of SC/ST students,
- Low retention rate and higher drop-out rate,
- Schools with a minimum of three CWSN.
- The habitation where the School is located has sizeable number of OoSC.
- The habitation where the School is located has in-bound and out-bound seasonal migration,
- The habitation where the School is located is known to have sizable number of urban deprived children.
- The School is located in a forest or far flung area.
- The habitation where the School is located witnesses recurrent floods or some other natural calamity.


### 1.5 Sample Design of the Study

A total number of 40 Schools have been taken as sample Schools from Almora district. Out of these 40 Schools, 30 Schools are from rural areas. 2 KGBVs (Two from rural blocks KGBV) have been selected.

Number of Sample Schools, District Almora.

| Sl. <br> No. | Name of Block | Primary <br> Schools | Upper Primary <br> Schools | KGBV | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hawalbagh | $05(62.5 \%)$ | $03(37.5 \%)$ | - | $08(20 \%)$ |
| 2 | Dhauladevi | $07(63.63 \%)$ | $03(27.27 \%)$ | $01(9.09 \%)$ | $11(27.5)$ |
| 3 | Lamgarah | $09(81.81 \%)$ | $01(9.09 \%)$ | $01(9.09 \%)$ | $11(27.5)$ |
| 4 | Bhosiachhana | $08(80 \%)$ | $02(20 \%)$ | - | $10(25 \%)$ |
|  | Total | $29(72.5 \%)$ | $09(22.5 \%)$ | $02(5 \%)$ | $40(100 \%)$ |

All types of 40 sample Schools selected from Almora district. Out of which, 29 are Primary Schools, 09 are Upper Primary Schools and 02 are KGBVs. The care has been taken that each type of School gets representation in the Sample.

## District - Almora <br> 1. LIST OF SCHOOL WITH SELECTION CRITERIA

| S. N | DISE School Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 05090615204 | PS N.T.D. Almora | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 2 | 05090615201 | UPS N.T.D Almora | UPS | Hawalbagh |
| 3 | 05090615001 | UPS Laxmaneshwar | UPS | Hawalbagh |
| 4 | 05090615305 | PS Panchdhara | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 5 | 05090604401 | PS Sarso | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 6 | 05090614905 | UPS Krishna Das Shah | UPS | Hawalbagh |
| 7 | 05090614904 | PS Krishna Das Shah | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 8 | 05090614601 | PS Rajpura | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 9 | 05090701302 | UPS Dhoura | UPS | Lamgarah |
| 10 | 05090700101 | PS Adhida Paudhaar | PS | Lamgarah |
| 11 | 05090703501 | PS Lamgadha | PS | Lamgarah |
| 12 | 05090703701 | PS Takoli | PS | Lamgarah |
| 13 | 05090707801 | PS Khairda | PS | Lamgarah |
| 14 | 05090702001 | PS Jalna | PS | Lamgarah |
| 15 | 05090711702 | PS Jwarnaidi | PS | Lamgarah |
| 16 | 05090711801 | PS Kutoli | PS | Lamgarah |
| 17 | 05090711107 | PS Sunadi | PS | Lamgarah |
| 18 | 05090705001 | PS Shehar Fatak | PS | Lamgarah |
| 19 | 05090511601 | PS Toli Agera | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 20 | 05090510203 | UPS Khola | UPS | Dhauladevi |
| 21 | 05090510201 | PS Khola | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 22 | 05090509901 | PS Panuwanoula | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 23 | 05090510501 | PS Mani Agaar | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 24 | 05090507302 | UPS Jageshwar | UPS | Dhauladevi |
| 25 | 05090507301 | PS Jageshwar | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 26 | 05090510302 | PS Aartola | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 27 | 05090510301 | UPS Sulkana Aartola | UPS | Dhauladevi |
| 28 | 05090510401 | PS Kotali | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 29 | 05090105202 | UPS Chandrakot Devda | UPS | Bhosiachhana |
| 30 | 05090103801 | PS Chandrakot | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 31 | 05090101801 | PS Digoli | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 32 | 05090105201 | PS Devadha | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 33 | 05090101701 | PS Petshaal | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 34 | 05090104701 | PS Alaai | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 35 | 05090104702 | UPS Alaai | UPS | Bhosiachhana |
| 36 | 05090108001 | PS Sheel | PS | Bhosiachhana |


| 37 | 05090103901 | PS Chhani(Bhosiachhana) | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 38 | 05090104507 | PS Badechina | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 39 |  | KGBV Jayati(Lamgarah) | KGBV | Lamgarah |
| 40 |  | KGBV Dhauladevi <br> (Chanedi) | KGBV | Dhauladevi |

## District: Almora

| 1. | Name of the Monitoring <br> Institution | LUCKNOW UNIVERSITY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Period of the report | 1st April 2013 to 31st Sept 2013 |
| 3. | Name of the District | Almora |
| 4. | Date of visit to the Districts/ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 1 2 . 1 3}$ to 24.12.13 |

## Mid-Day Meal Programmes by the Monitoring Institutes (2013-14)

## A. At School Level




## 3. $\quad$ REGULARITY IN DELIVERING FOOD GRAINS TO SCHOOL LEVEL:

I. Is school/implementing agency receiving food grain regularly?
$100 \%$ of the visited schools are receiving food grain regularly.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $29(100 \%)$ | - | $09(100 \%)$ | - |

ii) If there is delay in delivering food grains, what is the extent of delay and reasons for the same?

| PS | NA |
| :---: | :---: |
| UPS | NA |

## iii. Is buffer stock of one-month's requirement maintained?

Buffer stock is maintained in $100 \%$ of the visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $29(100 \%)$ | - | $09(100 \%)$ | - |

iv. Is the quantity of food grain supplied was as per the marked/indicated weight?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $28(96.55 \%)$ | $01(3.44 \%)$ | $09(100 \%)$ | - |

v. Is the food grain delivered at the school?

Food grains are delivered at the school doorsteps in 86.20 percent primary and 55.55 percent Upper primary visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $25(86.20 \%)$ | $04(13.79 \%)$ | $05(55.55 \%)$ | $04(44.44 \%)$ |

vi. Is the quality of food grain good?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $29(100 \%)$ | - | $09(100 \%)$ | - |


| 4 | REGULARITY IN DELIVERING COOKING COST TO SCHOOL LEVEL: <br> I. Is school/implementing agency receiving cooking cost in advance regularly? If there is delay in delivering cooking cost, what is the extent of delay and reasons for it? <br> 96.55 percent primary and 88.88 percent Upper primary of the sampled schools have received cooking cost in advance most of the times. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regularity in Delivering cooking Cost |  | NS No. of Schools |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Yes | NO | Yes | NO |
|  | Receiving cook advance regulu | king cost in rly? | 28(96.55\%) | 01(3.44\%) | 08(88.88\%) | 01(11.11\%) |
|  | If there is de the extent of reasons for | $y$, what is lay and | 6 months delay. The department has been informed. |  | 3 months delay. The department has been informed. |  |
|  | II. In case of delay, how school/implementing agency manages to ensure that there is no disruption in the feeding Programme? |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PS } \\ & \hline \text { UPs } \end{aligned}$ | The teachers manage it on credit basis from local ration shopkeeper or from their own pocket. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | The teachers manage it on credit basis from local ration shopkeeper or from their own pocket. |  |  |  |  |
|  | III. Is cooking cost paid by Cash or through banking channel? |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PS |  | UPS |  |  |  |
|  | Cash | Through Banking | Cash | Through Banking |  |  |
|  | - | 29(100\%) | - | 09(100\%) |  |  |
| 5. | SOCIAL EQUITY: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | I. Did you observe any gender or caste or community discrimination in cooking or serving or seating arrangements? <br> There was no discrimination noticed among all the sample schools visited by MI. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | Yes | No |  |  |
|  | - | 29(100\%) | - | 09(100\%) |  |  |




\section*{9. $\quad$ SUPPLEMENTARY: <br> a) Is there school Health Card maintained for each child? <br> | PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $26(89.65 \%)$ | $03(10.34 \%)$ | $09(100 \%)$ | - |}

b) What is the frequency of health check-up?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 29 | - | 11 | - |

c) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin - A dosage) and deworming medicine periodically?

Iron Folic Acid and Micronutrients have been distributed in 93.10 percent primary and 88.88 percent Upper primary of the visited schools. Vitamin - A dosage is distributed in schools anddeworming medicines were served in schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $27(93.10 \%)$ | $02(6.89 \%)$ | $08(88.88 \%)$ | $01(11.11 \%)$ |

d) Who administers these medicines and at what frequency?

Nearest Govt. doctor or CMO team. Administers them half yearly

| Who administers these <br> medicines | PS | UPS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CMO Team | $04(13.79 \%)$ | - |
| CHC Team | - | - |
| NGO Team | $03(10.34 \%)$ | $02(22.22 \%)$ |
| PHC Team | $20(68.96 \%)$ | $06(66.66 \%)$ |
| Other | - | - |
| Total Schools | $29(100 \%)$ | $09(100 \%)$ |


| 10. | I) Who cooks the meal? (Cook cum helper appointed by the Department) Cook cum helpers are engaged by department and cook serve the meals. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Who cooks the meal | PS | UPS |
|  | Departmental Cook | 29(100\%) | 09(100\%) |
|  | SMC | - | - |
|  | Self Help Group | - | - |
|  | NGO | - | - |
|  | Contractor | - | - |
|  | Total Schools | 29(100\%) | 09(100\%) |

II. Who serves the meal? (Cook cum helper appointed by the Department)

| Who serves the meal | PS | UPS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Departmental Cook | $29(100 \%)$ | $09(100 \%)$ |
| Teachers | - | - |
| Students | - | - |
| Others | - | - |
| Total Schools | $29(100 \%)$ | $09(100 \%)$ |

III. Is the number of cooks and helpers engaged in the school as per GOI norms?

Yes, the number of cook cum helpers is adequate to meet the requirement of the school

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $29(100 \%)$ | - | $09(100 \%)$ | - |

IV. Is the number of cooks?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | - | Male | - |
| Female | $51(100 \%)$ | Female | $16(100 \%)$ |
| Total | $51(100 \%)$ | Total | $16(100 \%)$ |

V) What is remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers and mode of payment?

The cooks are paid Rs. 1500/- per month.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank | $18(62.06 \%)$ | Bank | $06(66.66 \%)$ |
| Cash | $11(37.93 \%)$ | Cash | $03(33.33 \%)$ |
| Monthly Received <br> Amount per cook | $1500 /-$ | Monthly Received <br> Amount per cook | $1500 /-$ |

III) Is the remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers regularly?

The cook cum helpers in All primaries and 88.88 percent Upper primary of the visited schools informed that they were receiving the honorarium regularly.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $29(100 \%)$ | - | $08(88.88 \%)$ | $01(11.11 \%)$ |

IV) Specify the social Composition of cooks cum helpers?

Cook cum helpers in most of the schools belongs to Other (general cast), OBC and SC category.

| Social Composition of <br> cooks cum helpers? | PS | UPS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC | $10(19.60 \%)$ | $04(25 \%)$ |
| ST | - | - |
| OBC | $14(27.45 \%)$ | $02(12.5 \%)$ |
| Minority | - | - |
| Other | $27(52.94 \%)$ | $10(62.5 \%)$ |
| Total | $51(100 \%)$ | $16(100 \%)$ |

11. $\quad$ INFRASTRUCTURE:
I) Is a pucca kitchen shed-cum-store

Pucca kitchen cum store is available in 79.31 percent primary and 100 percent Upper primary of the visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $23(79.31 \%)$ | $06(20.68 \%)$ | $09(100 \%)$ | - |

II) Constructed and in use

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $23(100 \%)$ | - | $09(100 \%)$ | - |

III) Scheme under which Kitchen sheds constructed -

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MDM | SSA | MDM | SSA |
| - | $23(100 \%)$ | - | $09(100 \%)$ |

IV) Constructed but not in use (Reasons for not using)

| PS | - |
| :---: | :---: |
| UPS | - |

V) Sanctioned, but construction not started

| PS | UPS |
| :---: | :---: |
| $06(20.68 \%)$ | - |

VI) Not sanctioned

| PS | UPS |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | - |



| 15. | LPG is used in 13.79 percent primary and 33.33 percent Upper primary of the visited schools and in rest of the schools firewood is used for preparing mid day meal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kind of fuel used |  |  | PS | UPS |  |  |
|  | Gas |  |  | 04(13.79\%) | 03(33.33\%) |  |  |
|  | Kerosene |  |  | - | - |  |  |
|  | Firewood |  |  | 23(79.31\%) | 06(66.66\%) |  |  |
|  | Gas + Firewood |  |  | 02(6.89\%) | - |  |  |
|  | other |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| 16. | SAFETY \& HYGIENE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | During the visit it was noticed that $62.06 \%$ of the visited school were fulfilling the indicator of cooking and serving the food in neat, hygienic as well as safe conditions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The environment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |  |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (62.06 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (37.93 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 05 \\ (55.55 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 04 \\ (44.44 \%) \end{gathered}$ | - |  |
|  | Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |  |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (55.17 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (41.37 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 01 \\ (3.44 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 06 \\ (66.66 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 03 \\ (33.33 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - |  |
|  | Hygiene |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |  |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (68.96 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 07 \\ (24.13 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 02 \\ (6.89 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 04 \\ (44.44 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 05 \\ (55.55 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - |  |

## b) Are children encouraged to wash hands before and after eating

Children are encouraged to wash hands before and after eating in all the visited schools.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $29(100 \%)$ | - | $09(100 \%)$ | - |

c) Do the children take meals in an orderly manner?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $29(100 \%)$ | - | $09(100 \%)$ | - |

## d) Conservation of water?

Efforts were made in all the schools to conserve water and teachers encourage students to save water.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $29(100 \%)$ | - | $09(100 \%)$ | - |

e) Is the cooking process and storage of fuel safe, not posing any fire hazard?

In about 79.31 percent primary of the visited schools visited MI found that the cooking process and fuel storage was and safe was not posing fire hazard. However, in 20.68 percent primary of the visited schools it was not safe as the meals were either cooked in open or the makeshift kitchen was so small and very near the classrooms. However there is strong need for safe storage of fuel along with cooking ingredients.

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $23(79.31 \%)$ | $06(20.68 \%)$ | $09(100 \%)$ | - |

17. $\quad$ COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND AWARENESS:

There was Good \& Fair community participation noticed in about more than $95 \%$ of the visited schools Primary schools, however in almost $20 \%$ of the Upper Primary schools visited the community participation requires strengthening.
I) Extent of participation by Parents

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |
| 02 | 26 | 01 | 02 | 05 | 02 |
| $(6.89 \%)$ | $(89.65 \%)$ | $(3.44 \%)$ | $(22.22 \%)$ | $(55.55 \%)$ | $(22.22 \%)$ |

## II) SMCs

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |
| 03 | 24 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 02 |
| $(10.34 \%)$ | $(82.75 \%)$ | $(6.89 \%)$ | $(33.33 \%)$ | $(44.44 \%)$ | $(22.22 \%)$ |

III) Teacher's

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | Fair | Poor | Good | Fair | Poor |
| 13 | 16 | - | 07 | 02 | - |
| $(44.82 \%)$ | $(55.17 \%)$ |  | $(77.77 \%)$ | $(22.22 \%)$ |  |

IV) Is any roster being maintained by the community members for supervision of the MDM?

| PS |  | UPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $29(100 \%)$ | - | $09(100 \%)$ | - |

V) Are the parents/community members aware about the following :
a) Quantity of MDM per child

|  | Poor | Satisfaction | Good | Very Good | Excellent. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PS | $11(37.93 \%)$ | $16(55.17 \%)$ | $02(6.89 \%)$ | - | - |
| UPS | $01(11.11 \%)$ | $07(77.77 \%)$ | $01(11.11 \%)$ | - | - |


II) The frequency of such inspections?

| PS |  |  | UPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekly | Monthly | at Times | Weekly | Monthly | at Times |
| 01 | 03 | 10 | 01 | 01 | 02 |

19. 

## IMPACT

Has the mid day meal improved the enrollment, attendance of children in school, any improvement in general well being, nutritional status of the children (to be verified from school record, discussion with students, teachers and parents. Is there any other incidental benefit to the children and school due to serving of mid-day-meal by VEC, PRI members?

| Sn | Property | PS |  | UPS |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Enrolment | 26 | 03 | 05 | 04 | 31 | 07 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Attendance | $(89.65 \%)$ | $(10.34 \%)$ | $(55.55 \%)$ | $(44.44 \%)$ | $(81.57 \%)$ | $(18.42 \%)$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Health of <br> students | $(89.65 \%)$ | $(10.34 \%)$ | $(55.55 \%)$ | $(44.44 \%)$ | $(81.57 \%)$ | $(18.42 \%)$ |

There is no doubt that MDM bridges the gap and results in bringing about social justice because children belonging to different castes enjoy taking MDM collectively in a place which sets aside the feeling of discrimination.

## B. Any other issues relevant to MDM implementation - Investigator comments

In all the Primary and Upper Primary schools of the district of ALMORA, the hot cooked Mid Day meal is served daily without fail. The students, teachers \& Parents of the children are happy with the quantity \& quality of food served. On enquiry with parents they say that daily good meal is served which comprises of Dal, rice \& green vegetables.
Almost all the schools are regularly getting the food grains and almost all the schools have one month stock of food grains. In 1 PS (PS Sheel) the Head Mistress has complained that the ration shop person is supplying less ration than required, So she has to purchase the balance ration to run the MDM properly.
The quality of food grains is good and the teachers have reported that if the qulaity is not good they return back the food grains back to the shopkeeper. Almost all the schools have samples of rice grains for comparisons on regular supply. Almost all the schools receive the cooking expenses in advance. In 2 schools - PS Rajpura and UPS Jageshwar, the cooking expenses are not coming in advance and the teachers have to pay from their pockets to run the MDM regularity. In the UPS the payment has not come from the last 3 months and in the PS the payments have not come since July.
In $21 \%$ of the schools, the conveyance charges of bringing the ration to the school from the shop are not being paid by the shopkeeper. In these schools the teachers have to pay from their pockets the conveyance charges to bring ration to the school from the ration shop.
In 2 schools (UPS Jageswar and UPS Kana Aartola ) menu is not displayed on the wall, but the food is given according to the menu in all these schools.
The school children are happy with the quantity \& quality of MDM and they eat full to their satisfaction. In $8 \%$ of all schools surveyed, the Health card was not found but the students of all schools are given the medications from time to time.
The MDM is cooked and distributed by departmental cooks, who are selected by SMC. In $36 \%$ schools the payment to cooks is made in cash as they do not have a bank account.
Around $15 \%$ schools don't have Kitchen and store room so the food is cooked in extra room or in open. In 3 schools don't have provision of drinking water in school premises.
In most of the schools the food is cooked on firewood. In around $52 \%$ of the schools the MDM is not being monitored properly by the Department.
In all the schools improvement in enrollment, attendance and health of students has been noticed due to MDM.

## List of Almora district

## 1. Quantity of food grain supplied was not as per the marked/indicated weight

| S. $\mathbf{N}$ | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090108001 | PS Sheel | PS | Bhosiachhana |

## 2. Food grain not delivered at the school

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090108001 | PS Sheel | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 2 | 05090510401 | PS Kotali | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 3 | 05090510302 | PS Aartola | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 4 | 05090104701 | PS Alaai | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 5 | 05090614905 | UPS Krishna Das Shah | UPS | Hawalbagh |
| 6 | 05090104702 | UPS Alaai | UPS | Bhosiachhana |
| 7 | 05090507302 | UPS Jageshwar | UPS | Dhauladevi |
| 8 | 05090510301 | UPS kana artola | UPS | Dhauladevi |

## 3. List of schools not received cooking cost in advance

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090614601 | PS Rajpura | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 2 | 05090507302 | UPS Jageshwar | UPS | Dhauladevi |

4. School not displayed its weekly menu \& is not adhering to the menu displayed

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090507302 | UPS Jageshwar | UPS | Dhauladevi |
| 2 | 05090510301 | UPS kana artola | UPS | Dhauladevi |

## 5. Health Card is not maintained for each child

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090614601 | PS Rajpura | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 2 | 05090604401 | PS Sarso | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 3 | 05090711107 | PS Sunadi | PS | Lamgarah |

## 6. Schools the payment to cooks is made in cash

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090104701 | PS Alaai | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 2 | 05090507301 | PS Jageshwar | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 3 | 05090705001 | PS Shehar Fatak | PS | Lamgarah |
| 4 | 05090103901 | PS Chhani | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 5 | 05090614904 | PS Krishna Das Shah | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 6 | 05090711702 | PS Jwarnaidi | PS | Lamgarah |
| 7 | 05090104507 | PS Badechina | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 8 | 05090108001 | PS Sheel | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 9 | 05090711107 | PS Sunadi | PS | Lamgarah |
| 10 | 05090604401 | PS Sarso | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 11 | 05090510201 | PS Khola | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 12 | 05090104702 | UPS Alaai | UPS | Bhosiachhana |
| 13 | 05090510301 | UPS kana Aartola | UPS | Dhauladevi |
| 14 | 05090614905 | UPS Krishna Das Shah | UPS | Hawalbagh |

## 7. Not Remuneration paid to cooks cum helpers and mode of payment

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090507302 | UPS Jageshwar | UPS | Dhauladevi |

## 8. Children are not given micronutrients \& de-worming medicine periodically

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090614601 | PS Rajpura | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 2 | 05090711107 | PS Sunadi | PS | Lamgarah |
| 3 | 05090614905 | UPS Krishna Das Shah | UPS | Hawalbagh |

## 9. Pucca kitchen shed-cum-store is not available

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090614601 | PS Rajpura | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 2 | 05090711107 | PS Sunadi | PS | Lamgarah |
| 3 | 05090707801 | PS Khairda | PS | Lamgarah |
| 4 | 05090703501 | PS Lamgadha | PS | Lamgarah |
| 5 | 05090700101 | PS Adhida Paudhaar | PS | Lamgarah |
| 6 | 05090702001 | PS Jalna | PS | Lamgarah |

## 10. Not available safe drinking water in schools

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090711801 | PS Kutoli | PS | Lamgarah |
| 2 | 05090711702 | PS Jwarsaidi | PS | Lamgarah |
| 3 | 05090701302 | UPS Dhoura | UPS | Lamgarah |

## 11. No inspection of mid day meal programme

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 05090510501 | PS Mani Agaar | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 2 | 05090615305 | PS Panchdhara | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 3 | 05090703701 | PS Takoli | PS | Lamgarah |
| 4 | 05090103801 | PS Chandrakot | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 5 | 05090101701 | PS Petshaal | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 6 | 05090511601 | PS Toli Agera | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 7 | 05090510201 | PS Khola | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 8 | 05090702001 | PS Jalna | PS | Lamgarah |
| 9 | 05090700101 | PS Adhida Paudhaar | PS | Lamgarah |
| 10 | 05090703501 | PS Lamgadha | PS | Lamgarah |
| 11 | 05090105201 | PS Devadha | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 12 | 05090707801 | PS Khairda | PS | Lamgarah |
| 13 | 05090615204 | PS N.T.D. Almora | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 14 | 05090509901 | PS Panuwanoula | PS | Dhauladevi |
| 15 | 05090614601 | PS Rajpura | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 16 | 05090701302 | UPS Dhoura | UPS | Lamgarah |
| 17 | 05090510203 | UPS Khola | UPS | Dhauladevi |
| 18 | 05090105202 | UPS Chandrakot Devda | UPS | Bhosiachhana |
| 19 | 05090615001 | UPS Laxmaneshwar | UPS | Hawalbagh |
| 20 | 05090510301 | UPS kana Aartola | UPS | Dhauladevi |

## 12. No improvement in Environment by MDM

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090103901 | PS Chhani) | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 2 | 05090604401 | PS Sarso | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 3 | 05090711702 | PS Jwarkhaidi | PS | Lamgarah |
| 4 | 05090614905 | UPS Krishna Das Shah | UPS | 05090614905 |
| 5 | 05090104702 | UPS Alaai | UPS | Bhosiachhana |
| 6 | 05090507302 | UPS Jageshwar | UPS | Dhauladevi |
| 7 | 05090510301 | UPS kana Aartola | UPS | Dhauladevi |

## 13. No improvement in Attendance by MDM

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090103901 | PS Chhani) | PS | Bhosiachhana |
| 2 | 05090604401 | PS Sarso | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 3 | 05090711702 | PS Jwarkhaidi | PS | Lamgarah |
| 4 | 05090614905 | UPS Krishna Das Shah | UPS | 05090614905 |
| 5 | 05090104702 | UPS Alaai | UPS | Bhosiachhana |
| 6 | 05090507302 | UPS Jageshwar | UPS | Dhauladevi |
| 7 | 05090510301 | UPS kana Aartola | UPS | Dhauladevi |

## 14. No improvement in Health by MDM

| S. N | School Dise Code | School Name | Type | Block Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 05090604401 | PS Sarso | PS | Hawalbagh |
| 2 | 05090711702 | PS Jwarkhaidi | PS | Lamgarah |
| 3 | 05090507302 | UPS Jageshwar | UPS | Dhauladevi |
| 4 | 05090510301 | UPS kana Aartola | UPS | Dhauladevi |

